

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

JAMES P. CHASSE, JR., et al., )  
                                    )  
Plaintiffs,                  )  
                                    ) Civil Case No. 07-189-HU  
v.                              )  
                                    )  
CHRISTOPHER HUMPHREYS, et al., )  
                                    )  
Defendants.                 )  
\_\_\_\_\_  
                                    )

O R D E R

Tom Steenson  
Stenson, Schumann, Tewksbury, Creighton &  
Rose, P.C.  
500 Yamhill Plaza Building  
815 S.W. Second Avenue  
Portland, Oregon 97204

Attorney for Plaintiffs

James G. Rice  
Deputy City Attorney  
David A. Landrum  
Deputy City Attorney  
Office of the City Attorney  
1221 S.W. Fourth Avenue, Room 430  
Portland , Oregon 97204

Attorneys for Defendants Humphreys, Nice, City of Portland, and Tri-County  
Metropolitan Transportation District of Oregon

Agnes Sowle  
Multnomah County Attorney  
Susan M. Dunaway  
Assistant County Attorney  
501 S.E. Hawthorne Boulevard, Suite 500  
Portland, Oregon 97214-3587

Attorneys for Defendants Multnomah County and Bret Burton

Elizabeth A. Schleuning  
Jean Ohman Back  
Schwabe Williamson & Wyatt, P.C.  
1600-1900 Pacwest Center  
1211 S.W. Fifth Avenue  
Portland, Oregon 97204

Attorneys for Defendant American Medical Response Northwest, Inc.

Duane A. Bosworth  
Derek D. Green  
Davis Wright Tremaine LLP  
1300 S.W. Fifth Avenue, Suite 2300  
Portland, Oregon 97201

Attorneys for Intervenors The Associated Press, Belo Corp, dba: KGW-TV,  
City of Roses Newspaper Company, dba: Willamette Week, Fisher  
Communications, Inc., dba: KATU-TV, Meredith Corporation dba:  
KPTV-Channel 12, Montecito Broadcast Group, dba: KOIN-TV,  
Oregonian Publishing Company, and Pamplin Media Group, dba: The  
Portland Tribune

KING, Judge:

The Honorable Dennis J. Hubel, United States Magistrate Judge, filed Findings and Recommendation on November 19, 2007. The Media Intervenors filed timely objections to the Findings and Recommendation.

When either party objects to any portion of a magistrate's Findings and Recommendation concerning a nondispositive matter, the district court will modify or set aside any part of the order that is clearly erroneous or is contrary to law. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, Inc., 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920 (1982). This court, therefore, has reviewed the rulings of Magistrate Judge Hubel under a clearly erroneous or contrary to law standard.

This court ADOPTS the Findings and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Hubel dated November 19, 2007 in its entirety.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Media Intervenors' arguments opposing the Protective Order and Amended Protective Order are rejected. The Orders will remain as entered.

DATED this 10<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2008.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
GARR M. KING  
United States District Judge